

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/074,706	02/13/2002	Paul Mertens	98,475-B1	8134
20306	7590 05/11/200	4	EXAMINER	
MCDONN	ELL BOEHNEN HU	AHMED, SHAMIM		
300 S. WAG 32ND FLOG	CKER DRIVE OR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	CHICAGO, IL 60606		1765	

DATE MAILED: 05/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/074,706	MERTENS ET AL.			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shamim Ahmed	1765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 26 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a virial rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officinely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amounth that the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mai	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in f the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the second o					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo)□ will be entered and an ow or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 22-48.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		÷			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	•			
10. Other:	NAD	INE G. NORTON			
		RY PATENT EXAMINER			
	Most	-			

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendment to claim 22 incorporate the limitations of a gaseous "tensio-active" (line 6) and " the first and second supply systems are positioned to inhibit the liquid from substantially contacting the second part of the substrate" (lines 10-12) and also "the mixture further inhibits the liquid from substantially contacting the second part of the substrate" (lines 13-14) raise new issues.

Applicants argue that Kunze-Concewitz's supply systems are not positioned to inhibit the liquid from substantially contacting the second part of the substrate and to cause the liquid and the gaseous tensio-active substance to mix at a boundary as the context of claim 22

In response to applicant's argument, examiner states the argument is based on the after final amendment, which is not entered by the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shamim Ahmed whose telephone number is (571) 272-1457. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu (7:00-5:30) Every Friday Off. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine G Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shamim Ahmed Examiner Art Unit 1765

SA May 3, 2004 Hannes Hannes

NADINE G. NORTON SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER